Joint CQSE and CASTS Seminar

Weekly Seminar Mar. 31, 2017 (Friday)

TIME Mar. 31, 2017, 14:30 ~ 15:30
TITLE Two-dimensional melting: New algorithms, new insights
SPEAKER Prof. Werner Krauth Statistical Physics Laboratory, Department of Physics, Ecole normale supérieure, Paris, France
PLACE Rm716, CCMS & New Physics Building, NTU

Abstract

The hard-disk model has exerted outstanding influence on computational physics and statistical mechanics. Decades ago, hard disks were the first system to be studied by Markov-chain Monte Carlo methods (1) and by molecular dynamics (2). It was in hard disks, through numerical simulations, that a two-dimensional melting transition was first seen to occur (3) even though such systems cannot develop long-range crystalline order. This provided the starting point for the Kosterlitz-Thouless theory (4). Analysis of the system was made difficult by the absence of adequate simulation methods. In recent years, we developed the powerful event-chain algorithm (5) which allowed us to prove (6) that hard disks melt with a first-order transition from the liquid to the hexatic and a continuous transition from the hexatic to the solid. We thus confirm the existence of a hexatic phase yet show that the classic KTHNY theory does not apply. Subsequent work for soft-sphere potentials yields a generic theory of two-dimensional melting (7).

The event-chain algorithm is a first example of a class of "Beyond-Metropolis" (8) methods that violate detailed balance, yet satisfy global balance (the Markov chains are irreversible). Equilibrium is reached as a steady state with non-vanishing probability flows. The widely used filter. The system energy is not computed, providing a fresh perspective for long-range interactions (9). Moves are infinitesimal and persistent, implementing the lifting concept (10). The resulting general class of fast algorithms overcomes the Markov-chain Monte Carlo algorithm's limitations of the detailed-balance condition and goes beyond hybrid Monte Carlo.

- (2) B. J. Alder and T. E. Wainwright, J. Chern. Phys. 27, 1208 (1957).
- (3) B. J. Alder and T. E. Wainwright, Phys. Rev. 127, 359 (1962).
- (4) J. M. Kosterlitz and D. M. Thouless, J. Phys. C 6, 1181 (1973).

N. Metropolis, A. W. Rosenbluth, M. N. Rosenbluth, A. H. Teller, E. Teller, J. Chem. Phys. 21 1087 (1953).

- (5) E. P. Bernard, W. Krauth, D. B. Wilson, Phys.Rev. E 80 056704 (2009).
- (6) E. P. Bernard, W. Krauth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 155704 (2011).
- (7) S. C. Kapfer, W. Krauth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 035702 (2015).
- (8) M. Michel, S. C. Kapfer, W. Krauth, J. Chem. Phys. 140 54116 (2014).
- (9) S. C. Kapfer, W. Krauth, Phys. Rev. E 94, 031302 (2016).
- (10) S. Diaconis, S. Holmes, R. Neal, Ann. Appl. Probab., 10, 726 (2000).

